RESOLUTION NO. 91-170

A RESOLUTION OF THE LODI CITY COUNCIL ESTABLISHING CRITERIA AND A POINT SYSTEM FOR PROCESSING TENTATIVE MAPS FOR RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

WHEREAS, the Lodi City Council, by Ordinance No. 1521, adopted September 18, 1991 has provided for the establishment of certain development criteria and a point system for processing of tentative maps, parcel maps, and other approvals under the Subdivision Map Act,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Lodi City Council that the following criteria/point system is established:

Evaluation Criteria. (The criteria listed below have been developed to be consistent with current City policies and State laws.)

Agricu	ltural Land Conflicts	Score
1.	Project does not require conversion of vacant agricultural land	10
2.	Project is adjacent to agricultural land on one side	7
3.	Project is adjacent to agricultural land on two sides	Ę
4.	Project is adjacent to agricultural land on three sides	

	5.	Project is surrounded by agricultural land	0
3.	<u>On-sit</u>	te Agricultural Land Mitigation	
	1.	Project needs no agricultural land mitigation	10
	2.	Adequate on-site buffer has been provided as a part of site layout for all adjacent agricultural land	7
	3.	On-site buffer provided as a part of site layout for only part of the project	5
	4.	No buffer between project and adjacent agricultural land	0
.	adopte	al Location - A map showing such priority shall be ed or updated from time to time by the Council, and be available for inspection in the office of the Clerk.	
	1.	Project located within Priority Area 1	200
	2.	Project located within Priority Area 2	100
	3.	Project located within Priority Area 3	0

D. Relationship to Public Services

1. <u>General Location</u>

	a.	Project abuts existing development on four sides	10
	b.	Project abuts existing development on three sides	7
	с.	Project abuts existing development on two sides	5
	d.	Project abuts existing development on one side	3
	е.	Project is surrounded by undeveloped land	0
2.	Was	tewater	
	a.	Project is located adjacent to existing Master Plan sanitary sewers or mains designed to serve the project	10
	b.	Project will extend a Master Plan line within its boundaries	8
	с.	Project will extend a Master Plan line outside of its boundaries but within existing right-of way (0 if right-of-way is necessary)	4

		station for which funds are available in the	
		Sewer Impact Fee Fund	0
	е.	Project requires construction of a new lift	
		station for which funds are <u>not</u> available	
		in the Sewer Impact Fee Fund	*
3.	Wat	<u>er</u>	
	ā.	Project is located adjacent to existing Master	
		Plan water mains or mains designed to serve	
		the project	10
	b.	Project will extend Master Plan lines within its	
		boundaries	8
	с.	Project will extend Master Plan lines outside its	
		boundaries, but within existing right-of-way	
		(O if outside right-of-way)	4
	d.	Project requires construction of a new water	
		well for which funds are available in the Water	
		Impact Fee Fund	0

d. Project requires construction of a new lift

- e. Project requires construction of new water well for which funds are not available in the Water Impact Fee Fund
- f. Project improves the existing system (i.e., eliminates dead-ends, loops master plan lines, provides a well site)+1 to 3

4. Drainage

a. Project is served by an existing drainage basin and Master Plan line or mains designed to serve the project

10

8

4

- b. Project will extend a Master Plan line or expand an existing basin within its boundaries
- c. Project will extend a Master Plan line or expand an existing basin outside of its boundaries but within existing rights-of-way (O points if right-of-way is necessary
- d. Project requires construction of a new basin for which funds are available in the Master
 Drainage Impact Fee Fund

e. Project requires construction of a new basin for which funds are \underline{not} available in the Master Drainage Impact Fee Fund

E. Promotion of Open Space

Points shall be awarded on the basis of the percentage of coverage of the total loss of project area by roof area and paved areas on-site (exclusive of streets).

20% or	less	10	points
30% or	less	8	points
40% or	less	6	points
50%		4	points
60%		2	points
70% or	greater	0	points

Project owner shall submit an analysis of the percentage of impervious surface of the site. This section shall not apply to single-family residential.

F. Traffic

Project widens or improves an existing facility 10
 Project will extend Master Plan streets within

8

its boundaries

- Project will extend Master Plan streets outside
 its boundaries, but within existing right-of-way
 (0 if outside right-of-way)
- 4
- 4. Project requires roadway improvements for which funds are available in the Street Impact Fee Program

0

5. Project requires roadway improvements for which funds are <u>not</u> available in the Street Impact Fee Program

*

6. Project improves circulation by providing additional access to adjacent development (including non-vehicular access)

G. Housing

1. Low and Moderate Income Housing. A point credit will be awarded with the following schedule:

25% or more of units low and moderate	10
20%-24%	8
15%-19%	6
10%-14%	4
5%-9%	2
Less than 5% low and moderate or	
low and moderate housing proposed	0

- * Indicates project cannot proceed without provision for construction of the appropriate facility.
- H. <u>Site Plan and Project Design--Bonus Points</u> (These criteria shall only apply to multi-family projects).
 - Landscaping. (Planning Commission shall evaluate and provide between 10 and 0 points)
 (These criteria shall only apply to multi-family projects).
 - 2. Architectural Design. (SPARC Committee shall evaluate and provide between 10 and 0 points) (These criteria shall only apply to multi-family projects.)

I. <u>Schools</u>

1.	Project is within 1/4 mile of an existing	
	(or proposed) elementary school	10
2.	Project is within 1/2 mile of an existing	-
	(or proposed) elementary school	5
3.	Project is more than 1/2 mile from an existing or	
	proposed elementary school	0
4.	Project is within 1/2 mile of an existing (or	
	proposed) middle school.	10
5.	Project is within 1 mile of an existing or	
	proposed middle school	5
6.	Project is more than 1 mile from an existing or	
	proposed middle school	0
7.	Project is within 1 mile of an existing or proposed	
	high school	10
8.	Project is within 2 miles of an existing or proposed	
	high school	5

- J. Fire Protection. (Proximity to fire protection services)
 - Within 3 minute emergency vehicle driving time from the nearest fire station

10

- Within 4 minute emergency vehicle driving time from the nearest fire station

5

- Beyond 4 minute emergency vehicle driving time from the nearest fire station

0

Dated: September 4, 1991

I hereby certify that Resolution No. 91-170 was passed and adopted by the Lodi City Council in a regular meeting held September 4, 1991 by the following vote:

Ayes:

Council Members - Pennino, Pinkerton, Sieglock, Snider

and Hinchman (Mayor)

Noes:

Council Members - None

Absent:

Council Members - None

Alice M. Reimche

City Clerk